Report on European Commission public consultation on EU firearms policy

1. Introduction

A public consultation entitled 'A common approach to reducing the harm caused by criminal use of firearms in the EU' was open from 25 March to 17 June 2013. Its aim was to gather views of citizens and organisations on the possibility of more action on EU level in the area of firearms controls. A total number of 85 673 responses were received. This document summarises the common themes which emerged.

A full statistical breakdown of responses for each question is at the Annex to this report.

2. Respondents

In the introductory part of the consultation, participants were asked to indicate their country of origin. The two most represented Member States were France with 35% and the United Kingdom (19%). Responses from each of the other Member States represented 6% of the total or less. The vast majority of responses (95.6%) were from individual citizens, with 4% were from private organisations and 0.3 % from members of public authorities. Hunting and target shooting associations were well mobilised to participate in the survey: for example 2600 French respondents openly declared themselves to be either a representative or member of such an organisation.

Concerning substance, a total of 22 questions were asked on four main issues: (a) Role of the EU, (b) Legal production, possession and sale, (c) Storage, deactivation and destruction, (d) Working with third countries, police cooperation, statistics and reporting. At the end of each set of questions, respondents were able to make comments in a free text box. Most of these comments concerned the roles of the EU (20 963) and legislation (17 028). The section on storage and deactivation prompted 11 131 comments and relations with third countries and police cooperation 10 051.

Overall, respondents were opposed to the suggestion of further action on EU level in this area. For instance, 92% of respondent opposed extending the list of prohibited firearms, as defined in Annex I Part II of Directive 91/477/EEC. Some responses were overall more mixed: on the question of how frequently each Member State should report on its progress in tackling firearms trafficking, 50% responded "never", 29% "regularly" and 17% "occasionally"; on the question of developing police training for tackling firearms trafficking, 44 supported such a development either "to a large extent" or "to some extent", while 54% opposed it.

3. Summary of the Comments

a) EU Role

Responses <u>against</u> more EU action on firearms largely involved either <u>citizens</u> (hunters and target shooters) or their associations, i.e. private <u>organisations</u>. There was criticism of unnecessary "micro-management" on EU level as well as references to specific hunting traditions, particularly from respondents in <u>France</u> and <u>Finland</u>. These respondents linked the danger of terrorist attacks to larger weapons of war and not to firearms.

<u>Citizens</u> from <u>Germany</u> as well as a <u>Finnish organisation</u> endorsing <u>more</u> EU action pointed out that free movement of goods and people should lead to common rules for firearms while also remarking positively on the EU's measures in the area of police cooperation, and specifically mentioning the role of Europol.

<u>Czech</u> and <u>French citizens</u> emphasised the EU's role in fighting the trafficking of firearms, since illegally imported arms were seen as the major assets for terrorists and organised crime groups. These citizens also referred to the EU's means for assisting the economies of countries vulnerable to the illicit firearms trade.

b) Legal production, possession and sale

Participants who <u>contested</u> the need for stricter legislation and / or further harmonisation were mainly private <u>citizens</u> or hunters' and target shooters' <u>organisations</u> from <u>France</u>, <u>Finland</u> and <u>Sweden</u> who emphasised the distinction between the legal and the illegal possession of firearms. These respondents considered that where legislative action is taken, it should only address the illegal possession of and trafficking in firearms. In this regard, <u>French</u> and <u>German citizens</u> asserted that most illegally possessed firearms used in crimes did not originate in the EU as most arms produced in the EU were exported to other parts of the world. Larger guns, such as the Kalashnikovs, were often cited as a much greater threat in supplying the needs of organised crime.

Rather than legislation on EU and national levels, there was an emphasis on the need for better implementation of existing laws. Concerning harmonisation in criminal matters, some respondents questioned whether there was a legal basis for EU action. Furthermore, <u>German citizens</u> remarked that stricter standards on storage, rather than voluntary agreements, would constitute a disproportionate interference with owners' fundamental rights. <u>British</u>, <u>German and Czech citizens</u> believed that greater controls on firearms had such a drastic impact on fundamental rights that they should be decided upon only by national parliaments which, it was contended, enjoyed more legitimacy than the EU.

Comments *in favour* of further EU legislation included many detailed suggestions.

<u>Spanish</u> and <u>French</u> <u>public authorities</u>, <u>Irish</u> and <u>Spanish</u> private <u>organisations</u> as well as <u>Greek citizens</u> recommended the introduction of a strict harmonised regime governing the possession of arms, including rules on regular medical and mental health examinations,

criminal records checks in particular regarding previous history of violence and of alcohol abuse whilst carrying a firearm, the need for training courses on the secure use of a firearm and minimum age for possession. It was suggested by <u>several Irish citizens</u> that European legislation should cover the possession and sale of arms in a more comprehensive way.

Individual suggestions from Romanian, French and British citizens included arguments in favour of EU legislation introducing mandatory registration of firearms along with the collection of samples of fired bullets and used shells by gun owners, in order to facilitate forensic investigation. There was also a call for a common European database on firearms, in the words of one respondent "with all the ballistic patterns of firearms, both legal and illegal (found during investigations)". This database could be used to search for common patterns and trends. A Portuguese public authority suggested that such a database should also contain data concerning the owner of the gun, e.g. his or her DNA and home address which should be updated continuously. Also concerning harmonisation, a German public authority recommended the introduction of common European standards on the labelling of firearms.

A <u>Belgian public authority</u>, <u>Belgian</u> and <u>Italian organisations</u> as well as <u>French</u>, <u>British</u> and <u>German citizens</u> expressed strong criticisms against the sale over the internet of both firearms and ammunition. They proposed either a complete ban or that any such items purchased over the internet must be delivered to a secure location, such as local authority building, where the purchaser would be required to present proper identification in order to collect the items. Concerning ammunition, a member of the <u>Spanish Guardia Civil</u> called for further restrictions on the purchase of ammunition, and several <u>British citizens</u> criticised varying controls under national law on the purchase of rifle bullets as opposed to shotgun cartridges. <u>French public authorities</u> and <u>British citizens</u> expressed their concern that prison sentences for illegal firearms possession were too short. This view was shared by a member of the <u>Czech police</u> who requested a harmonisation of criminal sanctions in this area. In his opinion, harsher criminalisation would facilitate crime prevention and investigation under the Czech Code of Criminal Procedure.

<u>Slovakian</u>, <u>German</u> and <u>British citizens</u> and a member of the <u>Czech</u> police proposed a general amnesty for all illegal owners of firearms for those willing to sell their weapons anonymously to the public authorities with prices set legal market rates. Such amnesties it was claimed had been implemented successfully in the <u>Czech Republic</u> and <u>Germany</u>. Other individual responses included a <u>French organisation</u> representing the victims of hunting accidents which called for legislative action concerning mandatory safety distances between residential areas and hunting areas. A <u>British organisation</u> proposed inserting a chip or electronic tag into each firearm to enable tracking. Several <u>citizens</u> from <u>Sweden</u> proposed an amendment to the existing legislation to include crossbows and air weapons within the scope of the definition of a firearm in Art. 1 (1) of Directive 91/477/EEC.

Specific suggestions were made by <u>French</u>, <u>British</u> and <u>German citizens</u> who were either target shooters, collectors or members of re-enactment societies. These included a re-evaluation of the rules on licensing to avoid categorisation by calibre but rather to take into

account other technical characteristics such as barrel sizes and shooting ranges. Easier access was proposed to the European Firearms Pass for collectors and re-enactors of historic battles who travelled regularly across Member States' borders for these activities. These respondents claimed that historical weapons were unlikely to be used in crimes or terrorist attacks.

c) Storage, deactivation and destruction

<u>A number of German</u> and <u>Italian organisations</u>, a <u>German public authority</u> and a number of <u>citizens</u> from <u>Greece</u> were <u>in favour</u> of regulating storage on a European level and made various proposals including a requirement for storage in safes at the residences of the firearm owners to mandatory central firearms storage facilities managed or supervised by the police and financed by storage fees, with each loan from the facility being recorded according to its intended use (target shooting, hunting etc.).

<u>Italian, Belgian public authorities</u> and Romanian <u>organisations</u> shared the view that arms should be deactivated irreversibly and by the same manner all over the EU, while a <u>Belgian public authority</u> stated that this should be executed by a single entity per Member State which is fully and exclusively tasked with doing so. A <u>Romanian organisation</u> stressed the need for complete destruction of the essential parts of a firearm, pointing out that in national law this is not even the same for different types of firearms. A <u>French organisation</u> argued against complete destruction on the basis that deactivated guns remained valuable to their owners.

d) Working with third countries, police cooperation, statistics and reporting

<u>Citizens</u> and <u>organisations</u> from <u>France</u>, the <u>United Kingdom</u>, <u>Ireland</u>, <u>Sweden</u>, the <u>Czech Republic</u>, <u>Italy</u> and <u>Finland</u> were in favour of increased border control cooperation especially on the EU's eastern border, with frequent references made to the risks of trafficking from and through ex-Soviet countries and conflict areas in the former Yugoslavia.

<u>Belgian</u> and <u>Italian public authorities</u> called for the EU to take stronger action on both legal and illegal arms: EU authorities should be competent to regulate arms export and import permits and be responsible for monitoring and controlling the EU's external borders most vulnerable to firearms trafficking. <u>Spanish public authorities</u> and <u>German citizens</u> supported the joint preparation and execution of investigations by different police authorities in order to build valuable networks for information exchange. They also suggested police training on legislation and administrative procedures in other Member States.

DG Home Affairs

October 2013

Annex

A common approach to reducing the harm caused by criminal use of firearms in the EU: Responses to public consultation

Start of consultation: 25 March 2013 End of consultation: 17 June 2013

Total responses: 85673

Introductory questions

Please indicate the country where you live or where your organisation is based				
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85672)
Belgium	4297	5,02%	5,02%	5,02%
Bulgaria	91	0,11%	0,11%	0,11%
Czech Republic	2148	2,51%	2,51%	2,51%
Denmark	3660	4,27%	4,27%	4,27%
Germany	5401	6,30%	6,30%	6,30%
Estonia	126	0,15%	0,15%	0,15%
Ireland	549	0,64%	0,64%	0,64%
Greece	3434	4,01%	4,01%	4,01%
Spain	4523	5,28%	5,28%	5,28%

France	29561	34,50%	34,50%	34,50%
Italy	4458	5,20%	5,20%	5,20%
Cyprus	76	0,09%	0,09%	0,09%
Latvia	426	0,50%	0,50%	0,50%
Lithuania	57	0,07%	0,07%	0,07%
Luxembourg	112	0,13%	0,13%	0,13%
Hungary	403	0,47%	0,47%	0,47%
Malta	273	0,32%	0,32%	0,32%
Netherlands	2208	2,58%	2,58%	2,58%
Austria	1018	1,19%	1,19%	1,19%
Poland	798	0,93%	0,93%	0,93%
Portugal	200	0,23%	0,23%	0,23%
Romania	171	0,20%	0,20%	0,20%
Slovenia	79	0,09%	0,09%	0,09%
Slovakia	660	0,77%	0,77%	0,77%
Finland	1896	2,21%	2,21%	2,21%
Sweden	1800	2,10%	2,10%	2,10%
United Kingdom	16453	19,20%	19,20%	19,20%
Other	794	0,93%	0,93%	0,93%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

In which capacity are you participating in this consultation	n?			
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85672)
Citizen	81934	95,64%	95,64%	95,64%
Organisation	3464	4,04%	4,04%	4,04%
Public authority	274	0,32%	0,32%	0,32%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

Role of the EU

How would you rate the threat of firearms	related crime to the safety of people living	in the EU?		
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85672)
1 (not serious)	62619	73,09%	73,09%	73,09%
2 (quite serious)	12543	14,64%	14,64%	14,64%
3 (serious)	5721	6,68%	6,68%	6,68%
4 (very serious)	2889	3,37%	3,37%	3,37%
5 (extremely serious)	1900	2,22%	2,22%	2,22%
N/A	-	_	0,00%	-

To what extent do you consider the EU does enough	ugh to tackle the risk of illegal trade	and use of firearms in	the EU?	
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85672)
1 (EU does enough)	68209	79,62%	79,62%	79,62%
2 (EU should do more)	7865	9,18%	9,18%	9,18%
3 (much more EU action needed)	6083	7,10%	7,10%	7,10%
No opinion	3515	4,10%	4,10%	4,10%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU take action against t	he use of firearms for the purpose of	of committing terrorist	acts on EU territory?	
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85672)
1 (no EU action needed)	61943	72,30%	72,30%	72,30%
2 (more EU action needed)	13380	15,62%	15,62%	15,62%
3 (much more EU action needed)	6830	7,97%	7,97%	7,97%
No opinion	3519	4,11%	4,11%	4,11%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

Legal production, possession and sale

In EU law (Directive 91/477/EEC), a firearm is defined as 'any portable barrelled weapon that expels, is designed to expel or may be converted to expel a shot, bullet or projectile by the action of a combustible propellant...' To what extent should this definition be changed?

	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85671)
1 (not at all)	71754	83,75%	83,75%	83,76%
2 (minor/few changes)	7884	9,20%	9,20%	9,20%
3 (significant/ many changes)	1632	1,90%	1,90%	1,90%
4 (complete revision)	1923	2,24%	2,24%	2,24%
No opinion	2478	2,89%	2,89%	2,89%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

Under Directive 91/477/EEC (Annex I Part II), prohibited firearms include explosive military devices and launchers, automatic firearms, firearms disguised as other objects, ammunition with penetrating, explosive or incendiary projectiles and pistol and revolver ammunition with expanding projectives (except those weapons used for hunting, target shooting or weapons used by authorised persons). To what extent should this list of prohibited firearms be extended?

	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85669)
1 (not at all)	78457	91,58%	91,58%	91,58%
2 (to some extent)	4495	5,25%	5,25%	5,25%
3 (to a large extent)	1598	1,87%	1,87%	1,87%
No opinion	1119	1,31%	1,31%	1,31%
N/A	-	_	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU establish common rules for the authorisation of persons to produce and/or sell firearms?

	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85671)
1 (not at all)	66658	77,81%	77,81%	77,81%
2 (to some extent)	12217	14,26%	14,26%	14,26%
3 (to a large extent)	5634	6,58%	6,58%	6,58%
No opinion	1162	1,36%	1,36%	1,36%
N/A	-	_	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU establish binding rules and standards on technical security features for firearms, to help ensure that only the rightful owner of a firearm may use it?

	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85670)
1 (not at all)	70920	82,78%	82,78%	82,78%
2 (to some extent)	9915	11,57%	11,57%	11,57%
3 (to a large extent)	4038	4,71%	4,71%	4,71%
No opinion	797	0,93%	0,93%	0,93%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU establish rules controlling the sale and purchase of firearms and their components over the internet?

	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85670)
1 (not at all)	64194	74,93%	74,93%	74,93%
2 (to some extent)	13344	15,58%	15,58%	15,58%
3 (to a large extent)	7517	8,77%	8,77%	8,77%
No opinion	615	0,72%	0,72%	0,72%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU establish rules on arms fairs which take place in the EU?				
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85671)
1 (not at all)	71561	83,53%	83,53%	83,53%
2 (to some extent)	9575	11,18%	11,18%	11,18%
3 (to a large extent)	3416	3,99%	3,99%	3,99%
No opinion	1119	1,31%	1,31%	1,31%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU establish stricter rules on who may be authorised to per criminal record)?	ossess a firearm (eg on	basis of age, mental health	, or possesion of a
Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85670)

68751

11618

1 (not at all)

2 (to some extent)

80,25%

13,56%

80,25%

13,56%

80,25%

13,56%

Report on European Commission public consultation on EU firearms policy, DG Home Oct 2013

3 (to a large extent)	4920	5,74%	5,74%	5,74%
No opinion	381	0,44%	0,44%	0,44%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU establish stricter rules on situations where persons possessing firearms must make a declaration to the authorities?

	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85670)
1 (not at all)	72623	84,77%	84,77%	84,77%
2 (to some extent)	9118	10,64%	10,64%	10,64%
3 (to a large extent)	3313	3,87%	3,87%	3,87%
No opinion	616	0,72%	0,72%	0,72%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU establish common European legislation on definition of offences and criminal sanctions relating to arms trafficking?

	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85671)
1 (not at all)	55213	64,45%	64,45%	64,45%
2 (to some extent)	16440	19,19%	19,19%	19,19%
3 (to a large extent)	12829	14,97%	14,97%	14,97%
No opinion	1189	1,39%	1,39%	1,39%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU seek to control the sale and possession of ammunition as well as firearms?

	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85671)
1 (not at all)	72484	84,61%	84,61%	84,61%
2 (to some extent)	9855	11,50%	11,50%	11,50%
3 (to a large extent)	2838	3,31%	3,31%	3,31%
No opinion	494	0,58%	0,58%	0,58%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

Storage, deactivation and destruction

To what extent should the EU take further a	To what extent should the EU take further action for ensuring the secure management of all arms stockpiles in the EU?					
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85669)		
1 (not at all)	68619	80,09%	80,09%	80,10%		
2 (to some extent)	11070	12,92%	12,92%	12,92%		
3 (to a large extent)	4221	4,93%	4,93%	4,93%		
No opinion	1759	2,05%	2,05%	2,05%		
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-		

To what extent should the EU establish common binding rules on how firearms must be stored by those who lawfully possess them?					
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85669)	
1 (not at all)	72774	84,94%	84,94%	84,95%	
2 (to some extent)	9408	10,98%	10,98%	10,98%	
3 (to a large extent)	3058	3,57%	3,57%	3,57%	
No opinion	429	0,50%	0,50%	0,50%	
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-	

To what extent should the EU establish con	nmon binding technical standards on the d	eactivation of firearms	s?	
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85669)
1 (not at all)	66941	78,14%	78,14%	78,14%
2 (to some extent)	11501	13,42%	13,42%	13,42%
3 (to a large extent)	5678	6,63%	6,63%	6,63%
No opinion	1549	1,81%	1,81%	1,81%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

To what extent should the EU establish common	n binding rules for verifying that firea	rms have been destroy	ed or that they may no lon	ger be reused in whole or
in part?				
	Number of requested	% Requested	% of total number	% of
	records	records(85673)	records(85673)	total number records(85669)
1 (not at all)	64124	74,85%	74,85%	74,85%
2 (to some extent)	12157	14,19%	14,19%	14,19%
3 (to a large extent)	7925	9,25%	9,25%	9,25%
No opinion	1463	1,71%	1,71%	1,71%
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-

Working with third countries, police cooperation, statistics and reporting

To what extent should the EU, in its agreements with third countries in its neighbourhood, include action to tackle trafficking in firearms?				
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85669)
1 (not at all)	44986	52,51%	52,51%	52,51%
2 (to some extent)	18839	21,99%	21,99%	21,99%
3 (to a large extent)	20348	23,75%	23,75%	23,75%
No opinion	1496	1,75%	1,75%	1,75%

N/A	0,00% -
-----	---------

To what extent should the EU develop police training modules for tackling firearms trafficking inside the EU and into the EU?					
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85669)	
1 (not at all)	46380	54,14%	54,14%	54,14%	
2 (to some extent)	19043	22,23%	22,23%	22,23%	
3 (to a large extent)	18238	21,29%	21,29%	21,29%	
No opinion	2008	2,34%	2,34%	2,34%	
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-	

For the country where you are based, are you aware of any overall strategy or plan for tackling firearms trafficking?						
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85669)		
Yes	67433	78,71%	78,71%	78,71%		
No	18236	21,29%	21,29%	21,29%		
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-		

To what extent should the EU establish a common mechanism for collecting statistics on firearms trafficking?						
	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85669)		
1 (not at all)	48152	56,20%	56,20%	56,21%		
2 (to some extent)	20696	24,16%	24,16%	24,16%		
3 (to a large extent)	14235	16,62%	16,62%	16,62%		
No opinion	2586	3,02%	3,02%	3,02%		
N/A	-	-	0,00%	-		

How frequently should each Member State country report on its progress in tackling firearms trafficking?

Report on European Commission public consultation on EU firearms policy, DG Home Oct 2013

	Number of requested records	% Requested records(85673)	% of total number records(85673)	% of total number records(85668)
1 (never)	42521	49,63%	49,63%	49,63%
2 (occasionally)	14215	16,59%	16,59%	16,59%
3 (regularly)	24740	28,88%	28,88%	28,88%
No opinion	4192	4,89%	4,89%	4,89%
N/A	-	_	0,01%	-